APR 2 0 2004 00

Apparention Number; 10/084, 072

Group Art Unit Number: 3635

Filing date; 02/27/2002

Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent office action;

Mr. Kevin McDermott

Title of invention:

SUPPORT STRUCTURE FOR ISOLATIONG EARTHQUAKE MOTIONS

RECEIVED

APR 2222004

GROUP 3600

To the Commissioner for patens;

I wish to express my hearty thanks for your kind treatness on my patent application in details.

Copies of U.S. patent references were very helpful to me to understand prior arts similar to my
invention.

After careful consulting above cited U.S. patent references I came to distinguish differences between Mr. Gregory R. Brott' invention which is resemble closly in their structures.

Application Number; 10/084, 072
Group Art Unit Number; 3635
Filing date; 02/27/2002
Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent office action;
Mr. Kevin McDermott
Title of invention;
SUPPORT STRUCTURE FOR ISOLATIONG EARTHQUAKE MOTIONS

I am now enclosing the different points in details between above said two inventions expecting your cordial understuding.

The full text of amended specification will be filed in your office accordingly after your permission.

Waiting your kind next official action,

Very truly yours,

Date; April 12, 2004

Applicant's name; Kiich Yatani

Signature: 2:



Application Number: 10/084, 072

Group Art Unit Number: 3635

Filing date: 02/27/2002

Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent office action; Mr. Kevin McDermott Title of invention;

SUPPORT STRUCTURE FOR ISOLATIONG EARTHQUAKE MOTIONS

REMARKS

Some Differences between Mr. Gregory R, Brotz's DAMPENABLE BEARING (Pat. No. 6, 116, 782) and my invention (SUPPORT STRUCTURE FOR ISOLATING EARTHQUAKE MOTIONS

Dear Mr. Kevin McDermott,

Thank you for your kind office action dated March 8, 2004.

I carefully and thoroughly read it and then would like to apeal to you that there are some differences between Mr. R. Brots' DAMPENABLE BEARING and my invention as follows. (continue next pages)

1887 35 m



Application Number; 10/084, 072

Group Art Unit Number; 3635

Filing date; 02/27/2002

Name of the examiner who prepared

the most recent office action;

Mr. Kevin McDermott

Title of invention:

SUPPORT STRUCTURE FOR ISOLATING

EARTHQUAKE MOTIONS

Differences between two inventions

Mr. Gregory R, Brotz'	My invention
Patent (Pat. No. 6/116, 782)	Appl. No. 10/084, 072
	There is no frictions between large
There must be frictions between	steel balls and small steel balls.
pressure receiving support bearings.	They move simultaneously.
There is no free movement to prevent	Pressure receiving support balls are
friction of pressure free balls.	moved by pressure applying balls,
	hence no linkage energy is generated
Object of generation of linkage energy	There are no frictions between each
is a structure.	balls in which small balls move
	all directions to make no frictions.
Employed partition board to prevent	There are no objects to prevent
frictions would gererate friction power,	free movement of pressure receiving
by which linkage energy is generated.	support balls.
There is uncertainty on how linkage	Linkage movement range between column
movement generated in case of the M.8	and pressure receiving steel concrete
earthquake.	of fundamental hoop is set to be
·	80cm+80cm in all directions in which
·	spherical H play as shockabsorber.